

All rights, including the right to reproduce this book in any way, shape or form belong to the author. No reproduction in whatever form or manner may be done without the prior consent of the author and publisher.

Foundations for x coordinations

CHAPTER 11 - an-animated-life

edewlogics

Copyright © 03-08-2022 by edewlogics

DONATE TO SUPPORT THE FREE BOOKS FOR LIFE CAUSE.

www.edewlogics.com


Foundations of x coordination



edewlogics: a talent for liberty

Foundations For x Coordination

new platt-writing for edewlogics



No dainty dream lashes across generations as an accolade of shiftless lies meant to downgrade and denigrate. While the lashes retain their strides over time, they tender as apprenticed aftermaths for oblivion, steps ugly in their workings rather than their representations. None have the bearings for redemption. Redemption agrees upon some abominable infringement. Redemption, knows dignity.

Too many times too many people do kindly acts without the expectation of a return in favor. There are times very few may do for others without expectation and as a “phantom set” in motion, actions are barely noticeable until there is a transcending need for it.

In that case, there has to be either a truly existing God that can read one's mind due to some unfathomable externally imposed phenomenon or dear universe, one becomes that very god capable of reading one's mind. The case may be made that there is an unrelenting spirit that carries one's mind through time if one's mind is true.

This spirit may not know one as one may know oneself because it is more interested in the works of such hands and mind. And if wishes were horses, the spirit will never let beggars ride. Because beggars know who they are.

In this chapter, we are going to lay out the one to two-dimension point projection propensity for x coordination. If there are more substantial foundational conceptions to be considered, I will include them in later chapters as we flesh out x coordination.

In a world of deactivated-activated reality, connected-disconnected fabrications, fragments of ill-relativity, ill-recognition, recognition of controlled-uncontrolled identities, there is a seemingly ceaseless toxic racial indifference to truth in nature and science. This I must confront with as much subtle grace as I can afford.

There is a reckoning to be made of the extensions of projectable minds not as humanized for natural continuity and tenacity of being, but as objects of vulnerable conceptual theories and ideations enabling toxic dehumanization based on race.

We must abandon such scientific projections of toxic theories afflicting individuality and humanity, burn these toxically defiling, dehumanizing ill-conceptions of degenerate and worthless fates. Nature does not defile or degrade its constituents, however, should science?

There is a simple lighthearted fate at our feet, one which we must own to elevate. As a horned ungulate leaps in the air, hangs its forefeet up in the direction of x implication, hanging its life in the balance, honest to life. Its horns must curve to elevate the mass-less-ness honest to death.

And the misfortunes of such graces, strife, may set the flight of his leap against the lift of his head, as if its hoofs were reaching for its horns in excitement, without success, a statement of life, a coronation of death. The hind-limbs are two vertical lines of y -axis. The horns are phenomena of physics and mathematics, patterns in nature as quadratic curvatures, lines, and tangent lines from a norm as in the case of a deer.

Every natural structuring, every pattern has links, meaning relative to a larger body of astronomical meaningful states. This ungulate, alongside its horns which looks like a simple patterning of 'e' is a naturally meaningful one. The leap here has the forelimbs like two triangular potentials, two lambdas perhaps, that we can easily imagine. It can also be shown that the spatiotemporal event entity is relatable to x coordination.

And this is aside from the fact that his eyes are largely conditioned horizontally with the horizontal patterning of its pupil. Is it possible to see the horns of this ungulate graphically? Yes, but if we don't use the correct science for the equation that will bring these horns to life, we will be wasting our time and intellectual efforts.


There is a particularly curious case about the ungulate I must share with you here because it will lead to our next necessary discussion. In other words, there is a lot to learn from the



ungulate and the natural patterning of its horns as we move forward on the foundations of x coordination. We may call him Dr. Ungulate. The ungulate:

This horned ungulate, this animal does not exist in any ordinary space. It does not exist in the space appointed to it by conventional science either. We cannot see how or where these horns curls back to the animal. The animal exists in a space I call "Negative Space" which can manifest in several ways and will be fully explored scientifically in another book. In a simplified form at the moment, so you can follow along with me, I will clarify briefly. We have a vectorial space on earth that cannot be represented with XY coordination.

What we do is simple. We go there and we get there by the



equation e^{x-2} . Here is the graph:


In calculus, they simply say it's a divergent curve because it is divergent for the Y vertical axis, both negatively and positively, that is, in every way possible. But I tell you earnestly as I must, that "divergent" is the most useless word you can use for this equation. We will eventually encounter a spatiotemporal event that expresses it from a different perspective.

In a less serious note at the moment, an endangered woman like me who is perpetually "divergent," risk peril from time to time due to lack of much needed support in this generation thus far to practice science openly, freely without the great oppression of predictive, speculative expectation proliferated within society.

There is great ill and evil in racism, both tacit and expressed. And doing nothing but feeding the trivialities of matters is not the way this generation should go. I hope your generation is smarter if this is cross generational reading. No doubt I hope for it. Smarter is a great way to go to avoid status quo oppression in the long run. They do say "dumb is forever."

XY coordination is prime example that a diversity of one pretending to be multimodal without any natural root is a disaster for all. Meanwhile, if I survive the harshness of economic oppression by those who speak empty words but never practice real diversity or inclusion, we will explore "Negative Space" and such "divergences" because of their x coordinating aspects.

If we remove the negation, we will get the error XY



$$e^{x^2}$$



coordination is satisfied with, with e^{x^2} . Here:

This gives an initiating x value of 0 (compare to the inevitability of the necessary initiating x of x coordination.). We have no initiating x value to work with because XY coordination does not have any x value. At the moment in this pseudoscientific spatiotemporal conventional misadventure, it believes it does. We are going to explore the x derivation they naturally have in this chapter. It should be interesting finding out just how much of a misadventure we had ventured into unnaturally over time.

In 3D formation, X,Y,Y combination is impossible for XY coordination because of its parametric requirements and distinction. X,Y,-Y is impossible as well because it is self defeating. The ZY self-defeats the impossible XYY and the XZ plane seems unnecessary for XY Coordination. It will be reasonable to have XY coordination that has natural origination and reality while the conventional present-day projection of such can be an option.

If I say the XYZ is Lambda, because it looks a little like it, x coordination will be absent. Why? Relative to x coordination, Y coordination is formative from two-component and not projecting downward as vector potential as it is in XYZ coordination. That is, it is in the two component implication of the Lambda derivative. And the two components for x coordination have zero dimensionality z componential composition.

The conclusion here becomes inevitable. The main question is whether the Y is formative pre-effectively or pro-effectively from the three dimensional XYZ formation. Such, XYZ has to be intrinsically wrong, like XYY coordination.

In this chapter, you are going to meet the effects of the Lambda Derivative differently. You're going to meet every aspect of our discussion quite differently. We will be discussing the real-time effects of x coordination on XY coordination.

If you are asked what the counter reality for x^2 implication is, you may say it is the absolute value of x, which is always positive. And I will agree. Here, I will introduce you to XY equivalence as Einstein should have seen it.

And there are those great errors pervasive in mathematics and physics which creates phantom differential equations like $y = x^3 - x$ with the impossible relative states of x^3 , x^2 , or x. Differential equations in nature can not afford to be a trivial patchwork of worthless propensities, we must explore this phantom equation for exemplification.


Meanwhile, a lot of natural life, be it strict or indefinite identities, absurdities, or otherwise phenomenal existences have roots. In a lot of these cases, as you will meet here, the roots are not easily decipherable or discovered but are present. These root connections in nature, when they are made, are never merely trivial but of great importance to spatiotemporal event relativity and identity differentials.

And when crossing differentials as well as dimensions, we need to think about equivalences and equations of relativistic susceptibility and successions. We must consider the singularity of points, as they are the projectable units for three-dimensionality formations. Aside from this we must note critical points, endpoint project-ability, and what I deem essential points for x coordination. If the singularity is wrong,


all units projected become infected. A lack in substantial causation ensures a lack in substantial succession.


Such, in XY coordination, there are some necessities that cant be compromised as we project $y = |x| = |x| = y$ for the vertical equivalence. And this is the equivalent relativity version.

We explore how the absolute value of x comes to equal Y.



Aside from the x^2 implication relativity, it will become apparent that the graph of $y=|x|$ is half the graph of our threshold effect:





As a method of expressing the necessity of equitable equivalence in this case, I will give you a realistic natural world example.

If you watch the sunrise as I usually do in homelessness, walk eastward with reasonable speed, speed irrelevant (the ability to walk holds great relevance), and turn around against the eastern front to walk westward, you must note the sun goes directionally with your counter-directionality. It seems like she's following you or you're following her. She's not following you. There is something greater at work.

This turn you made in the east can only happen in two possible ways. The first, you can turn in a curvature form. Second, you can mimic the x coordinating perpendicular parallax. That is, you can walk up in a straight line and then walk across westward in a straight line. The reality of this necessity is naturally relative in both complex and simplex forms. You need to be able to do both at the same time. Now, it is possible Einstein and Lorentz could make you want

to believe nothing is happening here. And you are just that traveler moving forward and progressing from light year to light year. But I must tell you I find that laughable at this point.

The important deduction from this real-world example is to ponder why it happens, why it happens in this manner. Both happen at the same singularity magnetic momentum space point in time,, and a critical point.

Now, let's try something experimental on this journey. If I were, as we can suppose, to reach the end of that curvature and decide to turn around and trace that curvature back to the point of the turn of my back in the east to continue my eastward journey. We must ask which straight line I will be using to make this journey continuous from that point. We will realize it is the same straight line I was on before my westward turn.

If we go strictly on this curvature path, we realize we have made a terrible and tragic mistake. We have discounted the great importance of the perpendicular parallax. And this mistake is made not because it is a natural procedure for the states of nature in this wise, but largely because it is an inevitable process. The two must happen together, at the same time, same magnetic moment, same singularity of viably projected momentum point in time.

Yet, there is something to be said of the curvature and the origination point for this journey. We must further our discussion here with the absolute error that is the projected differential equation $y = x^3 - x$.

The first action we should take is find the roots of this equation. In Newtonian physics, we must have an idea where a root may be so we can find it. If we look for roots where it can neither exist nor persist, we are wasting our lifetime on worthless deeds. The roots for this equation exist at $x = 0, -1, 1$ and these are the points for the magnetic moment from the perpendicular parallax in the east.

Next, we find the critical points of this fictitious differential equation because we can find where x coordination cannot exist from such points, especially the initiating x for the x^2 implication which XY coordination does not have. Where is this fictitious x that can be relative in XY coordination while Y is relative to the derivation of the initiating x , the absolute value of x ?

The critical points for the equation are

$\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}, -\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{9}\right), \left(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}, \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{9}\right)$. I will do a brief analysis of these

numbers. In *Ignorance and Poliopolitics*, and previous chapters I discussed the importance of never ignoring the '0' before the decimal point and the numbers after the decimal point. The zero before the point is important because it is what is discarded in XY coordination to allow fictitious x coordination.

And the numbers after the point are very significant for me because they tell me what's happening with external states of nature. This is relative to truncation in mathematics and physics which you will find in the form of the surficial relevant square in our eventual foundation of x coordination diagram and will also find when we discourse leaves briefly in this book and a possible other book in the future. This is a

strange thing to think possible but after my statements about these states, it will be easy to see. $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} = 0.5773502691896257$.

$$\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{9} = 0.3849001794597504.$$

We can segment these numbers to see what's happening. Seven numbers to the right and the left and we get "69". These tells me several things. This is happening in the east and is a truncating revelation of the setting of the sun in the east. Now, we may go on and on forever from light-year to light-year on the same line from 57 to the same 57 as Einstein and Lorentz may want it.

But as with the example earlier, no matter what happens, reflective light, as Einstein who seemed obsessed with it will like, has great limitations we will discuss fully. I did say vehemently that it is a convergence. The 502 before the 6 in the "69" tells me there is a Northwestern upper curvature missing from the truncation. And because of the same 502, I know that the "6" blue Clothoid is never happening in the east.

In the second set of numbers, the numbers tell me of the drop of the reflective convergence, that is the threshold effect. The 38 after the '0', it tells of the eight octants in the reflective convergence as relatable to the threshold effect. In fact the number "3" is relatable to the threshold effect directly from the convergence points. The eight octants are present. With the "4" and the "5", there are 4th and 5th

dimensional involvement which is made more inevitable by the last three digits "504".

7 to the left and 7 to the right here as well assures me this is happening in the east not where the blue Clothoid is. The 79 is different from the 69 in the sense that it necessitates procedurally, two Y instantiation at "7" and "9" for the x implication and the rest that ensues. We will explore numbers a lot more in strange places in the future. Mathematics is truly fascinating.

We must talk about the unnatural shifts in x coordination when relative to the Y. Subtracting x from powers of x is like taking a sharp weaponry like an axe and chopping off anatomical appendages for x coordination. It's like dealing with a savage uncaring predator, an animal like Dr. Ungulate for instance. We can explore this with a few graphs.


Nobody achieves greatness who fears to be hated nor enlightenment who fears to be defiled and betrayed by the people most entrusted. Nobody achieves true freedom without breaking true bondage. Y has a habit of driving down because it has to root or straw around an animated ungulate or something else derivative and nonhuman in XY conventional science. In realistic science, X has the habit of moving up to meet the negative intermediate complex that enables its derivations.

A few things must be noted. Y is never equal to x and the fact that y is equal to the absolute value of x is extremely limited as a non-vectorial derivation. For instance, $X = x$ is the same. $X^2 = x^2$ is subject to all things the same. $Y = y$ is subject to all things y capable, all powers of y possible. Except there are

no powers of y possible in XY coordination. Y equals absolute value of x and this number is different from x . To assume they are the same is to defect x and x coordination relatively. There are powers of the absolute value of x but these are not equitable to y for the same reason there are no powers of y possible.

There is also the inevitable perspective perceptions of natural space x from vectorial space which limits relativity. When in XY combination the equivalence $y = |x|$ is implied, the translation in x coordination is $y = |x| = y$. We may stare at this unusual equation and ask whatever may we do with such. Plenty in natural science. Whatever we do, we are not going to wait for some low-hanging fruit to fall as the sky.

Here, we divide and conquer by doing this with every parameter and we get $\frac{|x|}{y} = 1$. This in this diagram, we will find that $y = |x| = \frac{|x|}{y} = 1$:



As the diagram dictates, this is important to differentiation and derivations. To show the undifferentiability of the equivalence $y = x^3 - x$, we must show the reality in x coordination and externalize XY, the whole system.

If we take the derivative of this equation, we get $2x^2 - 1$. We lose more than appendages this time, we lose the x^2 implication and the magnetic moment. We take the root of the equation and we get $x = 1$, $x = -1$, and $x = 0$, and for all

those values $y=0$, nowhere near it's possibility value of $\frac{|x|}{y}$.

When I do the ionic configuration for the magnetic moment and the consequential infraction, these are the numbers we must use.

We use the equation $\frac{|x|}{y}$ to do the differentiation here. The

derivation with respect to x gives $\frac{xy-x^2 \frac{d}{dx}(y)}{|x|y^2}$. If we separate the two equations as in $\frac{xy}{|x|y^2} -$

$\frac{x^2 \frac{d}{dx}(y)}{|x|y^2}$, we lose XY in the first equation as it becomes $\frac{x}{|x|y}$.

The XY seems to die, the whole coordinating system.

These discourse is relevant to the inevitability of x coordination not merely in the realm of calculus but also in physics. With the graph of $x^3 - x$, we see that with the subtraction of x from x^3 we see what seems to be an arm and a leg being pulled to satisfy some notion clearly alienable to x coordination.

We have done amazing things in this book already. We have an x as a numerator, it's root at the bottom with y and the equation is not equal to xy or anything directly or indirectly relative to it.

$$\frac{x}{|x|y} \neq xy \text{ or } \neq \frac{x}{y} \text{ or } \neq \frac{x}{xy} \left(\text{that is } \frac{1}{y} \right).$$

we must note that x is not the inverse of Y . It has never been. The inverse of Y looks like Λ but it's not Λ

because the inverse of Y can't defeat itself. It will be it's undoing, it's death. it clearly can't afford it.

The inverse of x, on the other hand is always the inverse of x no matter which direction, north, south, east, west. Now don't confuse inverse with reciprocal. Inverse is defined generally as the "opposite, reverse, or contrary in position, direction order or effect". The inverse of x is the planar directive capable of undoing x, directionally in this case because we are setting planar foundations for x coordination.

Y can't do this because it will have to be set by Lambda which we saw in the threshold effect. So x can be reversed in every direction and still retain its surficial structural and planar disposition.

The reciprocal on the other hand is the multiplicative inverse of y. The reciprocal of y which is $1/y$ is not in XY coordination because y doesn't show up without relativity with x

$1/x$ in XY coordination is "divergent" as we used it with the unglates. And there will be more discussions on that in a different book. In other words, it will be unnatural if not unthinkable and abominable to invert x on the behalf of y or vice versa. X coordination uses implication to vectorize against inverse, adverse effects as in the consequential infraction. Also to descend, ascend and endeavor such others.


If you were asked to be in charge of space, one in which there is always a through-fare for existing creatures capable of being within the capacity of their existence. This will be nature's way of enabling life as life and not something other

than their natural and true breed. If life can not be sustained, evolution of any kind, if possible, will be improbable.

If you put birds in walkways and provided a natural setting for them there so they can cheer other creatures up and you later find they are not doing what was expected of them. They are throwing excrements down as they fly rather than nesting in the unnatural placement of the living environment you provided for the walkways.

If with time and effort invested, you study these creatures and find that those flighty creatures never chirp in your relative walkways made to cheer people up. Would your relativity experiment be a failure or a success?

If we plot the graph of $x^3 - x$, it looks like Dr. Ungulate is trying to sit down in two separate planets on the same spatiotemporal time slice, as usual, an XY coordination travesty at the expense of natural x coordination. It looks like this:




We are smart to recognize nothing is happening with this equation and the graph, that is, aside from trying to pull a

realistic natural x coordination down, we know nothing is happening because the two semicircular formations comes to zero. There no x coordination here


How do we show something is terribly wrong with this differentiable equation made equivalent to Y? We have to find what could be there if x coordination was present without Dr. Ungulate having to axe away at her for some horribly forced unnatural relativity. How do we do this? We replace x with the x coordination derived equivalence for y. Yes, The very one we had been working very hard on. But that is still not viable, we must put it in a vectorially projected state for x coordination. We must take the derivation.

We draw the graph of $\frac{d}{dx} x^3 - |x|$. Now, it is important that you zoom in to the horizontal zero point or you will miss what a really there. When you are done zooming, you will find this:



What you are looking at is part of the consequential infraction's magnetic moment and I have enlarged it so you can see the -1, 0 and 1. Also because vectorization demands causation and a present and sustaining source for

movement, we must involve local and absolute extremes. Here is a hand drawn version with the exclusion of axes:



I had this very natural inkling that something was very much missing from the vectorial drawing, something a graph couldn't give me but my natural instincts could. So I studied the picture. Normally in XY coordination, they say it is divergent on the positive y axis towards infinity. But I know while this is diverging upward, like the rising sun, it is not diverging upwards towards anything XY coordination. It is rather, vectored locally and universally.

The universal, absolute maxima conceptions of this is seen in the upward driving arrows. The essential element for the localization was the essential point at the base of the upper parabola, which is the point before the threshold effect in earlier chapters. In XY coordination, they call it the "origin" and that is why I hand-drew the graph without the axes. In x coordination, this is simply a point, an essential vectored

point. In XY coordination, this origin needs to be in position at all times for vectorization, unlike x coordination.

After I transferred the origin into x coordination, it was clear the localization was familiar. But to be certain I read some texts on the anatomy and physiology of leaves, and here I must be particular about leaves because x coordination must be vectored in green leaves because they are oxygenated. When leaves change color, they become deoxygenated at the height of the threshold effect.


Nature can not vector colored leaves above the essential point. This should tell you a lot about dimensionality, the initiating x, the necessity for the x implication, the consequential infraction, the magnetic moment, the necessitating distance differentials, the knowledge of roots and localization for the universal absolute and inevitably, x coordination. Causation is again telling us it matters as an agent of essential and absolute imperative.

I will dedicate a book in the future to further explore this subject. Meanwhile, there are three cogent points to take from my little research, three things they find unusual about the nature of leaves things scientists could not quite understand about the anatomy and physiology of leaves. These three points, you will discover are already rich for us in this book:

- Acuminate: an apex that tapers to a long thin point.
- Sagittate: a base that looks like the base of an arrowhead.
- Truncate: a square base.

And the square base is the most appealing aspect of this in my books. It was in *Ignorance and Poliopolitics*, it is in this book in a different form you are about to meet and it is sure to show up in a future book or two.

Here is the diagram for the leafy local and universal absolute minimum by the base in this case, and there are other things you should note about it:



There is a lot to be said here. The most important is that you can never read the "9" Clothoid from this. You can read "6"

facing each other and extending sideways. You can read the “6” Clothoid extending from the interior towards the sides.

This is noteworthy because this is a summative read for “12”. This, if you are reading this cross-generationally and you're black, female and a science student or already a scientist, is extremely important. There are many levels of incorrect science, the worst of which are used to project racism rather than extend scientific reality and truth.


Scientists, who are mainly men, have done horrors with their obsession with the mere natural phenomenon of reflection. They let their minds be subjected to the massless physicality of it to use it to project some unnatural extension from a reality of local absolute reflective convergence—towards some nonentity unnatural infinity. It boggles my mind how scientists could make such huge mistakes. But I am certain, they have made them. The yellow reality is red convergence they project to be black to extend unnatural polarity for instance. This is naturally impossible for them. And there is the unforgivable; inverting nature to achieve worthless frivolous lives.

If they say for instance that unthinkable notion of some “12 years a slave” is applicable to some Caucasian female blonde, you should instantly laugh at them as though they are clowns. And clowns they are, of nature and of science. The blonde has no formative black, green, blue or otherwise anything primary in my gene pool. And while there is no “Y” in my x-initiating-coordinating primary gene pool. All the 12 slaves these degenerate scientists are enslaving for this genetically degenerate blonde Caucasian are all men.


If you are merely fractionally smart as me, it's unthinkable, unfathomably, a genetic travesty. It is important that I reiterate here the need to abandon scientific projections of genetic degeneracy and worthless fates.

The genetic degeneracy in this disturbing "12 years a slave" has never been about black men. The initiating x has been the one enslaved. Men have never had the capacity of a real x natural coordination, a real w natural orientation, a spatial projection of a $6x^2$, the vectorial propensity of $12x$ but I do. I also have the knowledge of how and why.

I am going to show with some examples, the necessity for x coordination. Throughout the course of scientific history, and mainly that of mathematics, there has never been a realistic or natural relativity for x coordination. In fact, there had never been one that captured x coordination in XY coordination. If we do a simple graphing of $\frac{x^2}{|x|}$, we get a Y without the Lambda derivative looking as suspicious as it is, that x and every of it's manifestation in that equation was cancelled for the Y projection in the graph. Now, we must make this right scientifically because we can, because the absolute x is an x coordinating derivation.



● $f(x, y) = \frac{x^2}{|x|}$ ⋮



● $f(x, y) = \frac{|x|}{x^2}$ ⋮


XY coordination has a Y axis and an x axis that is absolutely and abjectly deficient in only being able to say “and” and never “or”. If you did a little bit of logic or mathematics, this implies that it can never conquer x coordination which derived it with the consequential infraction and other

complex processes. Also any x complex derived from XY coordination must have it's own derivation.

The complexities for a different book, and we must plot the inverse of the equation. This equation will behave like a reciprocal, the same as Y, rather than the inversion we get with x. We get the usual Dr. Ungulate's divergence away from Y. When XY coordination speaks divergence, it is a thorough annihilation and total disregard for x coordination.

Here we must get to something I term "earth's immersion", the reason I know crock pot pop-up popcorn are meaningless made up artifacts. Get ready because we are going through viable quantum points, lively vectorial implication, ionization poignant in the atmosphere and other verifiable projections of knowledge.

Humans are so limited in foresight and perspective that what the best of our scientists see as light, dies, every night. It is indeed, a great shortcoming. Yet, there are greater shortcomings for the projection of inaccurate science on behalf of nature. The x coordinating consequential infraction, magnetic moment and the x implication ionic procedural effect of the thermodynamic activity involved as the sun rises relative to sunset's perpendicular near perfect parallax effect looks like this:




It becomes clear to me that the horizontal alignments always holds the same no matter which direction. And it is often told in magnetism, this cannot happen in this manner but we are not merely assured by thermodynamics, we can go to nature and see a small homeless life example of the immersion and the necessity of this happening.

One cold cloudy morning as the sun rose, I took pictures of the rising sun and I will like to show them to you. The first is an example of the immersion, the second was taken with some atrocity I had hoped to expose in mind. I did expose the atrocity and will discuss it later.

Picture 1



Picture 2



The water goes up, the water goes down in one form or the other. Fire and focus never come up. Heat comes up. The -1 is the *ith* factor, the absurd are elemental with it. They are ionically charged free radicals that must know x coordinating spatiotemporal propensity to exist. They can not be something other than what they are. The ionic propensities of water are known, unknown but yet inevitable in their reach. And the inevitability for the formation of water, reactionary, counter-reactionary from the influencing height in relation to absords such as rain, sleet, snow, and such, derives an inevitable discontinuous one sided eastern end phase we must reckon with in later chapters as this discussion is on one to two dimensionality surficial aspect of x coordination. The thermodynamics in this process involves strictly x coordination, the very same one XY coordination

currently annihilates and treats as divergences from some artificially projected strictly superficial norm.

There are yet great lies coveted in science, in this case Medicine and Surgery, based on this immersion in earthian space. Water, the most essential element for life which can only be found naturally on earth, is an element mainly of the vegetative state, black, the ultimate potential growth qualifier; delimiter-limiter, water, the differential-integrand, black-green-blue, the distinction, spatial blue, the spatial conformer, nature, the enabler.

If we ask Dr. Ungulate what this vegetative state is, he'll say its a "comatose" state. Like those forever divergences relative to x coordination, its like life without the conscious awareness of life. The vegetative state is mainly an immersed watered state and vice versa, a vectored state whose animated causation must know movement and consciousness. It is possible to know who you are by the kind of lie you tell from some imaginative subconscious of someone you want to be but cannot be, naturally speaking. Why wont someone in a properly scientific vegetative state be alive and vectored relatively? Earth is the prime example of just that—alive.


It becomes apparent here that any negation of x coordination is a worthless sink as it is currently in XY coordination in such Y differential equations like $y = x^3 - x$. It is easy to surmise there is no realistic differential equation at the moment. I will add here that for x coordination, it is not differentiable in terms of x other than the absolute value of

x, that is, $|x|$, $y = y$, and now we must evaluate XY a little further in the differential equation we used earlier.

I must note that doing this will incur that the Y be present during the sequential infraction and that the height of the Y axis is the root of the x axis in this case so the relativity is incurred at a natural and x coordinating atmosphere. This is so we can see what is truly happening to both differentiating coordination system at the same time. X is always planar in XY coordination, that is, it is one dimensional and the reason x^2 must imply x^2 relatively.

$$\frac{d}{dx} \frac{|x|}{y} = \frac{xy - x^2 \frac{d}{dx}(y)}{|x|y^2}.$$

We create a origin as is always needed differentially for XY coordination by equating to zero. We separate the two without cancelling out the XY this time because we want to see what is going on differentially. So we are looking at two equations that look very different.



The first, involving XY coordination is like beheaded ungulate at this point going on some artificially last leg, diverging in all possible directions as was expected, towards infinity. That we were ready for. The second on the other hand could have been unexpected because I didn't expect to find the tiny "D" looking shape made with two curves over there here. I know it had to be there, just didn't think I will see it despite the fact that we are observing a 3D graph.

Two curvatures and their essential point in movement sequentially interacting and alternating positions so they can be on the move as x coordinating complex and simplexes must meet. Two curves, two points. There is more science to this for a different book. But this occurs in the eastern overhead clockwise-anticlockwise as I described in earlier chapters with the Clothoids. It was nothing easy to find but I found it here in that equation, surprisingly.

That little “D” thing you see made of points and curvatures is what I call deoxyriboniggernucleic acid for reasons I must further explain as I make at least one postulations so the reasons can be understood and we can make our later chapters easily understandable as well.

Before we do that, this much information has enabled us to get to the most horrible atrocity scientist ever endeavored and that is that of turning nature's natural process and intimation upside down to feed some impossible reflection polarity. Reflection and polarity are worlds apart. Yet scientific systems have not adopted themselves as representation of this truth. Taking the inverse (meanwhile while Y has no inverse that looks like it but rather requires x coordination, Lambda derivative and absolute value of $|x|$ for relativity) of the second immersion photograph:

happen. They've done this in various aspects of science; creating inversions where nature must persist naturally.


#Postulation: From the position reference point of a subject on earth at a location on the ground, the subject can only perceive earth as two possible geometric projections associable to earth: a flat earth surface and a curvature earth surface. And these two geometric projection or their combinatorial effects as semi-spherical or semi-circular for instance are never concentric relative to the observer.

Earth can easily be perceived as flat and that wont be erroneous. What will be erroneous is to report a false statement about that which you do not see—a point centered concentric earth that is spherical or circular. There are two points and one curvature involved here. A person of reasonable spatial height and reasonable relatable distance from earth as a planet should see this sphere and perspective differentials there as earth may be an essential point rather than an essential sphere.


While earth may be considered this way relative to the cosmic universe, it is impossible to say what knows divergence will not know convergence in the very same way it is impossible to say an essential point divergence is not possibly a vectorial projection forward toward an essential point of convergence. It may be alluded in the same manner that reactionary phases, beginning, intermediate and end phases in thermodynamics are phases of essential event-prone and reactionary spatiotemporal differential points or facets.

What can handle an eclipse at all possible natural ranges, two essential points of notable distances essential, knows the sun, but it is the closest eclipsing constituents that becomes the determinants for the functioning and vectorization of essential causation. There is always that conventional fallacy in science which stands against all natural inclination on what may be internal or external to an eclipsing system. The absurdities of essential causation are deep and treacherous. It is inevitable that we must study x coordination from all possible directions.

Based on this knowledge, I will reveal my first inclination of these spatiotemporal reality, the hand-drawn planar diagram for the foundations of x coordination. The first is the hand-drawn with my reaction to it every time something new develops. Looks simple here but there are surfaces, facets and higher dimensionalities to it and we must join the phases of x coordination to properly find a natural representation for it. Here is the rough draft:



Here is fig V:



I will discuss the four essential points in this diagram, that is, those at the base of the parabolas here which is also at the height of the threshold effect in the picture detailing the reflective convergence in Chapter 12: *Essential Point Migration and Parallaxes*.

It is inevitable that I will discuss the immersion inversion and the horrors of it again. There is nothing like it in life, nature or some fathomable science in any possible world. It is

singlehandedly the most horrific thing scientists ever endeavored and this naturally degenerate anomaly is in many places evidence of a gruesome terroristic science.

To realistic science, from the ionization diagram, the creation of water in my east is a termination reaction for the termination phase of the consequential infracting setting implication and rising potential. There is no going further eastward. The only direction to go from my east is down. And the sun does not go down in that wise; a different process occurs. The parallax ensures it

The x implication owns the termination potential in the equation that gave us the graph which implies that the graph in XY coordination is as I had imagined it when I first drew it. The graph in XY is flat, planar and surficial. The divergences are delusions from a much ill adequate coordination system. We have a lot of work ahead of us in the second half of this book.

DONATE TO SUPPORT THE FREE BOOKS FOR LIFE CAUSE.

www.edewlogics.com